Eau Claire

COUNTY

Department of Planning and Development

Eau Claire County Courthouse 721 Oxford Avenue, Room 3344 Eau Claire, Wisconsin 54703 (715) 839-4741

Office	Use	Only
--------	-----	------

Date Application Accepted:	
Accepted By:	
Receipt Number:	
Town Hearing Date:	
Scheduled Hearing Date:	

VARIANCE APPLICATION

Property Owner	ty Owner Name:					Phone#	Phone#		
Mailing Address:									
Email Address:									
Agent Name:						Phone#	Phone#		
Mailing Address:									
Email Address:									
				0.55 11.5	0014471011				
				SITE INF	ORMATION				
Site Address:									
Property Descrip	tion:¼ _	1/4	Sec	, T	N, R	W, Town of			
Zoning District:			Code Sec	tion(s):					
Overlay District: Check Applicable	☐ Shoreland	□ Flood	olain	□ Airport	□ Wellhea	d Protection	☐ Non-Metallic Mining		
Computer #(s):									
or PIN #(s):									
(5).									
			GENE	RAL APPLICA	TION REQUIRE	MENTS			
	not be accepted until d. All information fro				ent staff to re	view the applicat	ion and determine if all necessary informat	ion	
•	tten statement that sp				uested.				
_	nent that justifies the hardship" exists and t						applicant's responsibility to prove that an n.)		
							er, NE building corner).		
addition/struc		c system, well	, driveway	, property lin	es, navigable v	vater ways, wetlar	er structures. Also, include the proposed nds, floodplains, slopes in excess of 20%, and 1" x 17".	d	
	-						or to the address above.		
permission purpose of	for the staff of the	e Eau Claire tion to be υ	County I sed as p	Departmen art of the p	it of Plannin oublic hearir	g and Developing process. I full	e best of my knowledge. I give ment to enter my property for the rther agree to withdraw this		
Owner/Age	nt Signature						Date		

At the public hearing, the applicant may appear in person or through an agent or an attorney of his/her choice. The applicant/agent/attorney may present testimony, evidence, and arguments in support of the application. All site plans, pictures, etc. become the property of the Department, and will remain in the file.

APPROVAL CRITERIA FOR VARIANCES

The Board of Land Use Appeals has the authority to issue variances only when the approval criteria is located in Section 18.109.070 Of the Eau Claire County Code. The board of land use appeals may approve a variance if the property owner demonstrates that the application complies with the requirements of Wis. Stat. § 59.694(7)(c). A variance shall:

- 1. Only be granted upon a showing of good and sufficient cause,
- 2. Be the minimum relief necessary,
- 3. Not cause increased risks to public safety or nuisances' costs for rescue and relief efforts, and
- 4. Not be contrary to the purposes of the ordinance, other applicable ordinances, or state law or administrative order.

A variance shall not:

- 1. Allow in any district uses not permitted in that district; or
- 2. Allow any alteration of an historic structure, including its use, that would preclude its continued designation as an historic structure; or
- 3. Damage the rights or property values of other persons in the area; or
- 4. Be granted for a hardship that is self-created; or
- 5. Be granted for a hardship based solely on an economic gain or loss; or
- 6. Be granted for actions that require an amendment to Chapter 18.200; or
- 7. Permit any change in established flood elevations or profiles; or
- 8. Otherwise violate Wisconsin law.

The following principles shall guide the board's decisions:

- 1. The burden is upon the appellant to prove the need for a variance.
- 2. Pecuniary hardship; loss of profit; or self-imposed hardships, such as that caused by ignorance, deed restrictions, proceeding without a permit, or illegal sales are not sufficient reasons for granting a variance.
- 3. The plight of the applicant must be unique, such as a shallow or steep parcel of land or situation caused by other than his or her own action.
- 4. The hardship justifying a variance must apply to the appellant's parcel or structure and not generally to other properties in the same district.
- 5. Variances can only be granted for lots that are less than one-half acre and are contiguous to existing structures constructed below the RFE. (Ord. 167-3, Sec. 1, 2023)

The Wisconsin Supreme Court's opinion in <u>State Ex. rel. Ziervogel v. Washington County Board of Adjustment</u>, found that the property owner will have to prove unnecessary hardship utilizing the 1976 <u>Snyder</u> Wisconsin Supreme Court decision. In the 2004 <u>Ziervogel</u> decision, the Supreme Court reaffirmed the 1976 <u>Snyder</u> standard for determining the existence of an unnecessary hardship sated as "...whether compliance with the strict letter of the restrictions governing area, setbacks, frontage, height, bulk or density would unreasonably prevent the owner from using the property for a permitted purpose or would render conformity with such restrictions unnecessarily burdensome." (at pages 3-4) Whether the standard is met depends upon "...a consideration of the purpose of the zoning restriction in question, its effect on the property, and the effect of a variance on the neighborhood and the larger public inters." (at page 4) The hardship must be unique to the property and not self-created (at page 4). The burden of proving unnecessary hardship remains on the property owner (at page 4). In the <u>Ziervogel</u> decision the Supreme Court affirmed the following rules of unnecessary hardship:

- 1. The hardship must be based on conditions unique to the property rather than considerations personal to the property owner.
- 2. The hardship cannot be self-created.
- 3. The Board is to evaluate the hardship in light of the purpose of the zoning restriction at issue.
- 4. The variance cannot be contrary to the public interest.
- 5. The property owner bears the burden of proving unnecessary hardship.

If it is found that there is a hardship and that the other criteria are present, the Board can grant a variance. In that case, the variance should only provide for the minimum relief needed to overcome the hardship. (Anderson, American Law of Zoning 3d, (9189) Vol. 3, S.20.86, pp. 624-5).

A variance grants relief from a **numerical standard**, such as a setback, that allows development that is inconsistent with the dimensional standards contained in the ordinance. Variances cannot be issued to approve uses that are inconsistent with the ordinance. The Board of Land Use Appeals is authorized by statute to grant variances to the strict terms of the Eau Claire County Zoning Ordinance only when certain criteria exist. Those criteria are listed above. It is the applicant's responsibility to prove that those criteria exist at the site and that a variance can be granted.

If you believe your request meets the criteria necessary to grant a variance, please summarize your request, the facts that show those standards have been satisfied, and arguments that support this conclusion.