ADDENDUM

Committee on Finance and Budget
Thursday, August 10, 2017 / 4:30 pm

LE Phillips Memorial Public Library / Chippewa Room
400 Wisconsin St.
Eau Claire, WI 54701

The Committee on Finance & Budget will meet at 4:30 pm for their regular business meeting; public input / listening session regarding the 2018 county budget to follow at 6:30 PM.

1. Proposed Resolution / File No. 17-18/052 "Affirming the Need for a Sixth Circuit Court Judge for Eau Claire County" / Discussion – Action

Post: August 8, 2017

Copy: media, Committee members, Kathryn Schauf, Amy Wong, Glenda Lyons, Janet Loomis

PLEASE NOTE: Upon reasonable notice, efforts will be made to accommodate the needs of individuals with disabilities through sign language, interpreters or other auxiliary aids. For additional information or to request the service, contact the County ADA Coordinator at 839-4710, (FAX) 839-1669 or 839-4735, tty: use Relay (711) or by writing to the ADA Coordinator, Human Resources, Eau Claire County Courthouse, 721 Oxford Avenue, Eau Claire, WI 54703.

FACT SHEET

TO FILE NO. 17-18/052

JUDICIAL NEED FACT SHEET

The 2016 Weighted Caseload Report prepared by the Director of State Courts Office for the period 2014-2016 showed an average judge need of 8.09. Eau Claire County currently has 5 judges and one full time court commissioner. The workload per judicial officer according to the Report is 1.3 which ranks 7th in the State, along with two other counties. Attached to the fact sheet is a copy of the report.

FISCAL IMPACT ON EAU CLAIRE COUNTY BUDGET FOR AN ADDITIONAL BRANCH OF THE CIRCUIT COURT – BRANCH 6

1. Cost to remodel second floor Courthouse space to accommodate additional Circuit Courtroom and associated offices. **$750,000**

2. Annual cost to Eau Claire County for three additional County employees; one each in Clerk of Court's, Probate/Juvenile Clerk & Sheriff's offices.[1] **$186,000.00 - $230,000.00**

3. Annual increase in State Court Support Grant to Eau Claire County.[2] **$25,000.00 - $41,000.00**

Respectfully Submitted,

William M. Gabler, Sr.
Circuit Court Judge Br III

NOTE: The costs of judicial salary and benefits, as well as those of a court reporter, are paid entirely by the State. A sixth circuit court judge would probably not necessitate the County hiring another judicial assistant. The four judicial assistants currently employed by the County are likely sufficient support staff for six circuit court judges and a full-time court commissioner.

---

[1] Pay and benefits amount depends on health insurance options chosen by each additional clerk. An employee who chooses no health insurance and related benefits will cost $48,000.00 per year, whereas an employee who chooses full health insurance and related benefits will cost $70,000.00 per year.

[2] The State makes an annual court support grant to each county, based upon the number of circuit court branches in the county. Eau Claire's total court support grant for 2017 is $457,900.00; broken down into two components; the court support grant itself ($362,500.00) and the Guardian Ad Litem reimbursements ($95,400.00). The Director of State Courts estimates the annual court support component would increase by $25,000.00 to $41,000.00 a year if a sixth branch were added.

Enrolled No. RESOLUTION **File No. 17-18/052**

- AFFIRMING THE NEED FOR A SIXTH CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE FOR EAU CLAIRE COUNTY-

WHEREAS, the Director of State Courts of the Wisconsin Supreme Court has informed the Eau Claire County Board of Supervisors that the Eau Claire County Circuit Court caseload, based on the 2016 weighted caseload study, indicates a current need for six (6) judges in Eau Claire County; and

WHEREAS, Eau Claire County is currently authorized for five (5) circuit court judges, while the rate of growth of case filings in Eau Claire County has consistently warranted six judges since at least 2014; and

WHEREAS, the Director of State Courts has indicated to the Chief Judge of the Tenth Judicial District that it is anticipated that in October, 2017 a bill will be introduced in the State Legislature to allocate additional judgeships; and

WHEREAS, Eau Claire County's judge need has been identified one of the highest in the state; and

WHEREAS, an additional judgeship is in the best interests of the citizens of Eau Claire County in order to provide an effective and efficient judiciary.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Eau Claire County Board of Supervisors hereby requests the Director of State Courts to request the Wisconsin State Legislature to allocate a sixth judgeship for Eau Claire County.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Eau Claire County will support both space and personnel requirements as deemed necessary for support of a sixth circuit judge and as set forth in the attached fiscal impact statement.

ADOPTED:

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

Committee on Judiciary and Law Enforcement

KRZ/yk

Dated this _____ day of _____, 2017.

SCOTT R. NEEDHAM
Chief Judge
St. Croix County
Government Center
1101 Carmichael Road
Hudson, WI 54016
Telephone: (715) 386-4611
Fax: (715) 381-4401

WILLIAM M. GABLER, SR.
Deputy Chief Judge
Eau Claire County
Government Center
721 Oxford Avenue
Eau Claire, WI 54703
Telephone: (715) 839-7732
Fax: (715) 831-5837

DONALD HARPER
District Court Administrator
1101 Carmichael Rd., Suite 1260,
Hudson, WI 54016
Telephone: 715-245-4105
FAX: 715-381-4323

STATE OF WISCONSIN

TENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT



July 10, 2017

Hon. William Gabler, Sr.
Presiding Judge
Eau Claire County Government Center
721 Oxford Avenue
Eau Claire, WI 54703

RE: Eau Claire County Judgeship

Dear Judge Gabler:

This letter is written to memorialize certain information provided during a meeting with you, members of the Eau Claire County Board of Supervisors, County Board Chair Gregg Moore, County Administrator Kathryn Schauf; and DCA Don Harper. As Chief Judge of the 10th District it is my responsibility to promote the efficient, expeditious, and effective delivery of justice within the counties I serve and supervise. Likewise, pursuant to Supreme Court rules, case processing is closely monitored by my office to assure the above referenced justice objectives are met. To that end, the Committee of Chief Judges, in consultation with the Director of State Courts, reviews caseload statistics in the State to determine judicial need in each of the State's 72 counties.

As you are aware, pursuant to the most recent weighted caseload study completed in 2016, Eau Claire County's caseload indicates a significant deficiency in judicial personnel. Judicial need, measured over a three (3) year average, indicates a total need of 8.09 judicial officers. In fact, the judicial need in Eau Claire County has consistently risen the past three (3) years: 7.89 in 2014, 8.06 in 2015, 8.30 in 2016. Given case filings through June 30, 2017, this upward trend will continue.

Based on the County's judicial need, the workload for each judicial officer currently stands at 1.33. As a result, judges are working in excess of capacity. Clearly the objectives of the judicial system cannot be realized when judges are trying to manage caseloads which exceed capacity. The result is a clogged and over-burdened justice system with insufficient resources to meet the demand. The result: justice delayed which translates into justice denied.

To accommodate the excess, the Committee of Chief Judges plans to introduce a judgeship bill in the State legislature this fall. As Chairman of the Committee of Chief Judges Workload Committee, I am tasked with determining which of the top 10 judicial need counties should be included in the bill. For comparison purposes, Eau Claire County ranks #7 in the State for judicial need. Within the 10th District,

Eau Claire County ranks #3 in need behind Sawyer and Dunn Counties. Also of note is the fact that if Eau Claire County adds a judge, each judicial officer's workload would still be in excess of capacity as the workload would be 1.14 per judicial officer as compared to the current 1.33. However, the excess would be significantly reduced, administratively manageable, and consistent with justice system objectives.

In order for a county to be considered for inclusion in the judgeship bill three criteria must be satisfied: need, local support, and approved building infrastructure. As noted above, the first criterion is met. It is my understanding that the second and third criteria will be topics of discussion and potential action by the committees of the County Board and Board of Supervisors within the coming weeks.

The Chief Judge Workload Committee will meet on August 11. If possible, I would like some indication of support prior to that date. The Committee has requested that a bill be drafted. I will include Eau Claire County in the non-public draft. We anticipate seeking sponsorship and introduction by late September or early October. However, to be included in the final public draft bill a support resolution and commitment to capital infrastructure would be required from the Eau Claire County Board.

I will make myself available to reviewing committees or the Board if requested. Thank you for your continued leadership in Eau Claire County.

Sincerely,

Hon. Scott R. Needham

cc: Hon. Gregg Moore – County Board Chair
Kathryn Schauf – County Administrator
Don Harper - DCA



2016 Weighted Caseload Report

Prepared by the Director of State Courts Office

July 2017

Weighted Caseload Report (2014-2016)

County Summary

County	Judge Need 3-year Average	Judges	Supplemental Need	Court Commissioners	Remaining Need	Workload Per Judicial Officer
Milwaukee	63.01	47	16.01	22.00	-5.99	0.91
District 1 Total	64.01	47	17.01	22.00	-4.99	0.93
Kenosha	11.71	8	3.71	3.00	0.71	1.06
Racine	14.74	10	4.74	3.36	1.38	1.10
Walworth	4.88	4	0.88	1.90	-1.02	0.83
District 2 Total	31.84	22	9.84	8.26	1.58	1.05
Jefferson	4.17	4	0.17	2.25	-2.08	0.67
Ozaukee	3.17	3	0.17	1.00	-0.83	0.79
Washington	5.30	4	1.30	1.32	-0.02	1.00
Waukesha	15.22	12	3.22	4.00	-0.78	0.95
District 3 Total	28.36	23	5.36	8.57	-3.21	0.90
Calumet	1.82	1	0.82	0.50	0.32	1.21
Fond du Lac	5.86	5	0.86	1.02	-0.16	0.97
Manitowoc	5.04	3	2.04	1.25	0.79	1.19
Sheboygan	6.11	5	1.11	1.90	-0.79	0.89
Winnebago	9.40	6	3.40	3.03	0.37	1.04
District 4 Total	28.73	20	8.73	7.70	1.03	1.04
Dane	23.36	17	6.36	10.50	-4.14	0.85
Green	1.73	2	-0.27	0.24	-0.51	0.77
Lafayette	0.80	1	-0.20	0.10	-0.30	0.73
Rock	9.78	7	2.78	2.90	-0.12	0.99
District 5 Total	36.16	27	9.16	13.74	-4.58	0.89
Adams	1.55	1	0.55	0.20	0.35	1.29
Clark	1.52	1	0.52	0.13	0.39	1.35
Columbia	3.95	3	0.95	0.38	0.57	1.17
Dodge	4.19	4	0.19	0.39	-0.20	0.95
Green Lake	1.20	1	0.20	0.15	0.05	1.04
Juneau	1.90	2	-0.10	0.50	-0.60	0.76
Marquette	0.84	1	-0.16	0.00	-0.16	0.84
Portage	3.66	3	0.66	0.80	-0.14	0.96
Sauk	4.02	3	1.02	1.00	0.02	1.00
Waushara	1.74	1	0.74	0.31	0.43	1.32
Wood	4.40	3	1.40	0.30	1.10	1.33
District 6 Total	29.47	23	6.47	4.16	2.31	1.09
Buffalo/Pepin	1.25	1	0.25	0.15	0.10	1.08
Crawford	0.66	1	-0.34	0.05	-0.39	0.63
Grant	2.14	2	0.14	0.33	-0.19	0.92
Iowa	1.43	1	0.43	0.12	0.31	1.28
Jackson	1.67	1	0.67	0.19	0.48	1.40
La Crosse	6.59	5	1.59	1.07	0.52	1.09
Monroe	3.26	3	0.26	0.25	0.01	1.00
Pierce	1.85	1	0.85	1.02	-0.17	0.91
Richland	1.06	1	0.06	0.20	-0.14	0.88
Trempealeau	1.47	1	0.47	0.24	0.23	1.19
Vernon	1.22	1	0.22	0.03	0.19	1.18
District 7 Total	23.10	18	5.10	3.65	1.45	1.07

Weighted Caseload Report (2014-2016)

County Summary

County	Judge Need 3-year Average	Judges	Supplemental Need	Court Commissioners	Remaining Need	Workload Per Judicial Officer
Brown	14.43	8	6.43	4.00	2.43	1.20
Door	1.37	2	-0.63	0.20	-0.83	0.62
Kewaunee	0.75	1	-0.25	0.31	-0.56	0.57
Marinette	1.92	2	-0.08	1.00	-1.08	0.64
Oconto	1.62	2	-0.38	1.00	-1.38	0.54
Outagamie	9.69	7	2.69	3.50	-0.81	0.92
Waupaca	2.91	3	-0.09	0.02	-0.11	0.96
District 8 Total	33.20	25	8.20	10.03	-1.83	0.95
Florence/Forest	1.23	1	0.23	0.22	0.01	1.01
Iron	0.39	1	-0.61	0.04	-0.65	0.38
Langlade	1.56	1	0.56	0.29	0.27	1.21
Lincoln	1.95	2	-0.05	0.14	-0.19	0.91
Marathon	8.23	5	3.23	1.03	2.20	1.36
Oneida	2.42	2	0.42	0.33	0.09	1.04
Price	0.79	1	-0.21	0.00	-0.21	0.79
Shawano/Menominee	2.37	2	0.37	0.34	0.03	1.01
Taylor	0.94	1	-0.06	0.27	-0.33	0.74
Vilas	1.44	1	0.44	0.07	0.37	1.35
District 9 Total	21.33	17	4.83	2.73	2.10	1.11
Ashland	1.53	1	0.53	0.15	0.38	1.33
Barron	3.61	3	0.61	0.11	0.50	1.16
Bayfield	1.09	1	0.09	0.12	-0.03	0.98
Burnett	1.45	1	0.45	0.30	0.15	1.11
Chippewa	3.87	3	0.87	0.42	0.45	1.13
Douglas	3.24	2	1.24	1.00	0.24	1.08
Dunn	3.05	2	1.05	0.16	0.89	1.41
Eau Claire	8.09	5	3.09	1.08	2.01	1.33
Polk	2.92	2	0.92	0.34	0.58	1.25
Rusk	1.02	1	0.02	0.03	-0.01	0.99
St. Croix	4.18	4	0.18	0.80	-0.62	0.87
Sawyer	1.56	1	0.56	0.08	0.48	1.44
Washburn	1.21	1	0.21	0.07	0.14	1.13
District 10 Total	37.32	27	10.32	4.66	5.66	1.18

*Note: A .5 FTE Chief Judge Adjustment is added to each districts total except Milwaukee where the adjustment is 1.0 FTE.

Weighted Caseload Report (2014-2016)

District Summary

District	Judge Need 3-year Average	Judges	Supplemental Need	Court Commissioners	Remaining Need	Workload Per Judicial Officer
1	64.01	47	17.01	22	4.99	0.93
2	31.84	22	9.84	8.26	1.58	1.05
3	28.36	23	5.36	8.57	-3.21	0.90
4	28.73	20	8.73	7.7	1.03	1.04
5	36.16	27	9.16	13.74	-4.58	0.89
6	29.47	23	6.47	4.16	2.31	1.09
7	23.10	18	5.10	3.65	1.45	1.07
8	33.20	25	8.20	10.03	-1.83	0.95
9	21.83	17	4.83	2.73	2.10	1.11
10	37.32	27	10.32	4.66	5.66	1.18

Weighted Caseload Report (2014-2016)
Three-Year Workload Average

District	County	2014*	2015	2016	3-Year Average
1	Milwaukee	64.97	63.28	60.78	63.01
2	Kenosha	12.38	11.19	11.58	11.71
2	Racine	14.78	14.73	14.72	14.74
2	Walworth	5.00	4.89	4.76	4.88
3	Jefferson	4.21	4.03	4.28	4.17
3	Ozaukee	3.15	2.99	3.35	3.17
3	Washington	5.73	5.20	4.96	5.30
3	Waukesha	15.27	15.45	14.95	15.22
4	Calumet	1.82	1.76	1.89	1.82
4	Fond du Lac	5.95	5.87	5.77	5.86
4	Manitowoc	4.89	5.16	5.08	5.04
4	Sheboygan	6.12	6.12	6.10	6.11
4	Winnebago	9.95	9.11	9.13	9.40
5	Dane	24.17	23.65	22.25	23.36
5	Green	1.82	1.80	1.58	1.73
5	Lafayette	0.88	0.87	0.64	0.80
5	Rock	9.57	10.03	9.73	9.78
6	Adams	1.43	1.63	1.60	1.55
6	Clark	1.46	1.36	1.76	1.52
6	Columbia	4.21	3.81	3.83	3.95
6	Dodge	4.29	4.05	4.23	4.19
6	Green Lake	1.27	1.13	1.21	1.20
6	Juneau	1.96	1.84	1.89	1.90
6	Marquette	0.89	0.82	0.83	0.84
6	Portage	3.75	3.53	3.70	3.66
6	Sauk	4.18	3.93	3.95	4.02
6	Waushara	1.74	1.63	1.84	1.74
6	Wood	4.33	4.18	4.69	4.40
7	Buffalo/Pepin	1.21	1.20	1.34	1.25
7	Crawford	0.67	0.66	0.66	0.66
7	Grant	2.25	2.00	2.17	2.14
7	Iowa	1.24	1.53	1.53	1.43
7	Jackson	1.58	1.66	1.76	1.67
7	La Crosse	6.59	6.52	6.66	6.59
7	Monroe	3.01	3.17	3.59	3.26
7	Pierce	1.71	1.91	1.93	1.85
7	Richland	0.98	1.08	1.12	1.06
7	Trempealeau	1.47	1.43	1.51	1.47
7	Vernon	1.21	1.34	1.11	1.22

Weighted Caseload Report (2014-2016)
Three-Year Workload Average

District	County	2014*	2015	2016	3-Year Average
8	Brown	14.78	14.52	13.98	14.43
8	Door	1.47	1.35	1.29	1.37
8	Kewaunee	0.77	0.77	0.72	0.75
8	Marinette	1.92	1.89	1.96	1.92
8	Oconto	1.61	1.57	1.69	1.62
8	Outagamie	9.85	9.60	9.62	9.69
8	Waupaca	3.17	2.93	2.64	2.91
9	Florence/Forest	1.21	1.25	1.23	1.23
9	Iron	0.38	0.38	0.41	0.39
9	Langlade	1.60	1.47	1.60	1.56
9	Lincoln	1.97	1.86	2.03	1.95
9	Marathon	7.82	7.92	8.94	8.23
9	Oneida	2.37	2.39	2.51	2.42
9	Price	0.80	0.72	0.84	0.79
9	Shawano/Menominee	2.56	2.17	2.39	2.37
9	Taylor	0.89	0.87	1.06	0.94
9	Vilas	1.65	1.37	1.31	1.44
10	Ashland	1.43	1.47	1.69	1.53
10	Barron	3.41	3.93	3.49	3.61
10	Bayfield	1.09	1.10	1.09	1.09
10	Burnett	1.41	1.52	1.41	1.45
10	Chippewa	3.64	3.96	4.03	3.87
10	Douglas	3.26	3.26	3.21	3.24
10	Dunn	2.92	3.11	3.11	3.05
10	Eau Claire	7.89	8.06	8.30	8.09
10	Polk	2.97	3.02	2.76	2.92
10	Rusk	0.88	1.19	1.00	1.02
10	St Croix	4.14	4.28	4.12	4.18
10	Sawyer	1.49	1.61	1.57	1.56
10	Washburn	1.17	1.27	1.20	1.21

*Although new case weights were not established until 2015, case data from 2014 was added to provide consistency with previous years reports

Weighted Caseload Report (2014-2016)
Court Commissioner Counts

County	Previous Year Court Commissioners	Current Year Ct. Cmss. FTE
Milwaukee	22.00	22.00
D1	22.00	22.00
Kenosha	3.33	3.00
Racine	3.77	3.36
Walworth	1.75	1.90
D2	8.85	8.26
Jefferson	2.00	2.25
Ozaukee	1.00	1.00
Washington	1.34	1.32
Waukesha	4.00	4.00
D3	8.34	8.57
Calumet	0.50	0.50
Fond du Lac	1.03	1.02
Manitowoc	1.25	1.25
Sheboygan	1.90	1.90
Winnebago	3.00	3.03
D4	7.68	7.70
Dane	10.50	10.50
Green	0.03	0.24
Lafayette	0.09	0.10
Rock	2.93	2.90
D5	13.55	13.74
Adams	0.31	0.20
Clark	0.13	0.13
Columbia	0.38	0.38
Dodge	0.75	0.39
Green Lake	0.05	0.15
Juneau	0.48	0.50
Marquette	0.00	0.00
Portage	1.02	0.80
Sauk	1.00	1.00
Waushara	0.31	0.31
Wood	0.05	0.30
D6	4.48	4.16
Buffalo/Pepin	0.24	0.15
Crawford	0.05	0.05
Grant	0.35	0.33
Iowa	0.11	0.12
Jackson	0.18	0.19
La Crosse	1.03	1.07
Monroe	0.26	0.25
Pierce	1.01	1.02
Richland	0.19	0.20
Trempealeau	0.27	0.24
Vernon	0.02	0.03
D7	3.71	3.65

Weighted Caseload Report (2014-2016)
Court Commissioner Counts

County	Previous Year Court Commissioners	Current Year Ct. Cmss FTE
Brown	4.00	4.00
Door	0.35	0.20
Kewaunee	0.31	0.31
Marinette	1.00	1.00
Oconto	1.00	1.00
Outagamie	3.50	3.50
Waupaca	0.06	0.02
D8	10.22	10.03
Florence/Forest	0.22	0.22
Iron	0.04	0.04
Langlade	0.23	0.29
Lincoln	0.15	0.14
Marathon	1.03	1.03
Oneida	0.30	0.33
Price	0.01	0.00
Shawano/Menominee	0.33	0.34
Taylor	0.24	0.27
Vilas	0.06	0.07
D9	2.61	2.73
Ashland	0.13	0.15
Barron	0.11	0.11
Bayfield	0.08	0.12
Burnett	0.30	0.30
Chippewa	0.39	0.42
Douglas	1.00	1.00
Dunn	0.10	0.16
Eau Claire	1.08	1.08
Polk	0.50	0.34
Rusk	0.05	0.03
St. Croix	0.80	0.80
Sawyer	0.09	0.08
Washburn	0.08	0.07
D10	4.71	4.66

Weighted Caseload Report (2014-2016)
Need Sorted Alphabetical

County	Workload per Judicial Official
Adams	1.29
Ashland	1.33
Barron	1.16
Bayfield	0.98
Brown	1.20
Buffalo/Pepin	1.08
Burnett	1.11
Calumet	1.21
Chippewa	1.13
Clark	1.35
Columbia	1.17
Crawford	0.63
Dane	0.85
Dodge	0.95
Door	0.62
Douglas	1.08
Dunn	1.41
Eau Claire	1.33
Florence/Forest	1.01
Fond du Lac	0.97
Grant	0.92
Green	0.77
Green Lake	1.04
Iowa	1.28
Iron	0.38
Jackson	1.40
Jefferson	0.67
Juneau	0.76
Kenosha	1.06
Kewaunee	0.57
La Crosse	1.09
Lafayette	0.73
Langlade	1.21
Lincoln	0.91
Manitowoc	1.19
Marathon	1.36
Marinette	0.64
Marquette	0.84
Milwaukee	0.91
Monroe	1.00
Oconto	0.54
Oneida	1.04
Outagamie	0.92
Ozaukee	0.79

Weighted Caseload Report (2014-2016)
Need Sorted Alphabetical

County	Workload per Judicial Official
Pierce	0.91
Polk	1.25
Portage	0.96
Price	0.79
Racine	1.10
Richland	0.88
Rock	0.99
Rusk	0.99
Sauk	1.00
Sawyer	1.44
Shawano/Menominee	1.01
Sheboygan	0.89
St. Croix	0.87
Taylor	0.74
Trempealeau	1.19
Vernon	1.18
Vilas	1.35
Walworth	0.83
Washburn	1.13
Washington	1.00
Waukesha	0.95
Waupaca	0.96
Waushara	1.32
Winnebago	1.04
Wood	1.33

Weighted Caseload Report (2014-2016)
Judicial Workload Rankings

Rank	County	Workload per Judicial Official
1	Sawyer	1.44
2	Dunn	1.41
3	Jackson	1.40
4	Marathon	1.36
5	Clark	1.35
6	Vilas	1.35
7	Wood	1.33
8	Ashland	1.33
9	Eau Claire	1.33
10	Waushara	1.32
11	Adams	1.29
12	Iowa	1.28
13	Polk	1.25
14	Calumet	1.21
15	Langlade	1.21
16	Brown	1.20
17	Trempealeau	1.19
18	Manitowoc	1.19
19	Vernon	1.18
20	Columbia	1.17
21	Barron	1.16
22	Washburn	1.13
23	Chippewa	1.13
24	Burnett	1.11
25	Racine	1.10
26	La Crosse	1.09
27	Buffalo/Pepin	1.08
28	Douglas	1.08
29	Kenosha	1.06
30	Green Lake	1.04
31	Oneida	1.04
32	Winnebago	1.04
33	Shawano/Menominee	1.01
34	Florence/Forest	1.01
35	Sauk	1.00
36	Monroe	1.00
37	Washington	1.00
38	Rusk	0.99
39	Rock	0.99
40	Bayfield	0.98
41	Fond du Lac	0.97
42	Waupaca	0.96
43	Portage	0.96
44	Dodge	0.95
45	Waukesha	0.95
46	Outagamie	0.92
47	Grant	0.92
48	Pierce	0.91
49	Milwaukee	0.91
50	Lincoln	0.91
51	Sheboygan	0.89

Weighted Caseload Report (2014-2016)
Judicial Workload Rankings

Rank	County	Workload per Judicial Official
52	Richland	0.88
53	St. Croix	0.87
54	Dane	0.85
55	Marquette	0.84
56	Walworth	0.83
57	Ozaukee	0.79
58	Price	0.79
59	Green	0.77
60	Juneau	0.76
61	Taylor	0.74
62	Lafayette	0.73
63	Jefferson	0.67
64	Marinette	0.64
65	Crawford	0.63
66	Door	0.62
67	Kewaunee	0.57
68	Oconto	0.54
69	Iron	0.38